Optimization for variational Monte Carlo with neural quantum states

MSG Seminar: Machine Learning in Science at NYU

Michael Lindsey (CIMS) Joint work with Robert Webber (CIMS)

April 8, 2021

Setting and idea of VMC

• Mathematically, we want to compute

$$E_0 = \min_{\psi \in \mathbb{H}} \frac{\psi^* \mathcal{H} \psi}{\psi^* \psi},$$

where \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator, $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a high-dimensional function (the wavefunction)

• Parametrize
$$\psi = \psi_{ heta}$$
, minimize

$$E(heta) := rac{\psi_{ heta}^* \mathcal{H} \psi_{ heta}}{\psi_{ heta}^* \psi_{ heta}}$$

Assumptions: given θ, x...

- we can query ψ_{θ} at x, i.e., evaluate $\psi_{\theta}(x)$, 'efficiently'
- we can query $\mathcal{H}\psi_{\theta}$ efficiently, i.e., evaluate $[\mathcal{H}\psi_{\theta}](\mathbf{x})$, 'efficiently'

- **Application:** determining ground-state energy / wavefunction of quantum many-body system
- Recent neural network-based approaches
 - Quantum spin systems: complex RBM [Carleo and Troyer 2017]
 - **Electronic structure:** neural network backflow [Luo and Clark 2019], FermiNet [Pfau et al 2020], PauliNet [Hermann et al 2020]
- Methodology below general to *any* setting for VMC
 - But experiments will be on quantum spin systems, where $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \{\pm 1\}$

Energy evaluation by sampling

Expand

$$E(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) [\mathcal{H}\psi_{\theta}](\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})|^{2}}.$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} \frac{[\mathcal{H}\psi_{\theta}](\mathbf{x})}{\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})|^{2}}$$

• Then

$$E(heta) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim
ho_{ heta}} \left[E_{ ext{loc}}(\mathbf{x}; heta)
ight]$$

can be evaluted by sampling, where

$$\rho_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{|\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})|^2}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}'} |\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}')|^2}, \quad E_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) := \frac{[\mathcal{H}\psi_{\theta}](\mathbf{x})}{\psi_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}$$

Estimator satisfies zero variance property: if ψ_θ is an eigenvector, then E_{loc}(· ; θ) ≡ E(θ)

Gradient evaluation

- Can't just autograd the formula for $E(\theta)$!
- Compute analytically, then evaluate by sampling
- Obtain (omitting some dependence on θ for clarity)

$$\mathsf{g}_i := \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{\psi_i^* \overline{\mathcal{H}} \psi}{\psi^* \psi},$$

where
$$\psi_i(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_i}(\mathbf{s}) - \frac{\left\langle \psi, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_i} \right\rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \psi(\mathbf{s})$$
 and $\overline{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{E}(\theta)$

- g (like E) can be estimated by sampling from $ho_{ heta}$
 - Estimator satisfies zero variance property again
- Typically in VMC, one goes beyond first-order methods via the 'linear method'
 - Solves Rayleigh-Ritz problem on tangent space to parametric manifold {ψ_θ : θ ∈ ℝⁿ}
 - Requires modification to succeed, not yet successful in practice for NN-based ansatzes

Rayleigh-Gauss-Newton approach

- New (but related) idea: first-order Hessian approximation
- Can compute $\nabla^2 E(\theta) = H(\theta) + J(\theta)$, where

$$H_{ij} = \frac{\psi_i^* \overline{\mathcal{H}} \psi_j}{\psi^* \psi}, \qquad J_{ij} = \frac{\psi_{ij}^* \overline{\mathcal{H}} \psi}{\psi^* \psi},$$

where $\psi_{ij}(\mathbf{s})$ depends on second derivatives w.r.t. θ

- Notice that J = 0 if $\psi = \psi(\theta)$ is an eigenvector
- Hence approximate $\nabla^2 E \approx H$

• Analogy to Gauss-Newton (GN) method for nonlinear least squares

- However, the setting is different due to the Rayleigh quotient objective
- Hence we use the term *Rayleigh-Gauss-Newton* (RGN)

Need for stabilization

- Tempted to update $\theta \leftarrow \theta H^{-1}g$
- However, away from the optimizer, $H \approx \nabla^2 E$ is inaccurate
- Analogous to Levenberg-Marquadt approach for GN, can consider the update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - (H + \varepsilon^{-1})^{-1}g$$

- Closer to gradient descent with step size ε when $\varepsilon > 0$ is small
- Can increase ε as we get closer to the optimizer
- However, gradient descent privileges an *unnatural* metric on parameter space...

Natural gradients

- **Background:** *stochastic reconfiguration* (SR), also known as *quantum natural gradient descent*
 - cf., natural gradient for generative models in ML
- But first...what is gradient descent?
- Observe

$$heta - \varepsilon
abla E(heta) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{ heta' \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ E(heta) + \langle
abla E(heta), heta' - heta
angle + rac{1}{2\varepsilon} | heta' - heta |^2
ight\}$$

- Penalty $d(\theta, \theta')^2 = |\theta' \theta|^2$ is unnatural
- Ideally replace with

$$d_{\mathrm{FS}}(heta, heta') = \angle \left(rac{\psi_{ heta'}}{\|\psi_{ heta'}\|},rac{\psi_{ heta}}{\|\psi_{ heta}\|}
ight)$$

Instead expand

$$d_{\mathrm{FS}}(heta, heta')^2 pprox (heta'- heta)^* \mathcal{S}(heta'- heta),$$

where $S = S(\theta)$ is PSD, can be evaluated by sampling • Modified update:

$$\theta - \varepsilon S^{-1} \nabla E(\theta)$$

- Idea: integrate natural metric into RGN framework
- Consider the update

$$heta \leftarrow \operatorname*{argmin}_{ heta' \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ E(heta) + \langle g, heta' - heta
angle + rac{1}{2} (heta' - heta)^* (H + arepsilon^{-1} S) (heta' - heta)
ight\}$$

Concretely,

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - (H - \varepsilon^{-1}S)^{-1}g,$$

where H, S, g all evaluated by sampling

 $\bullet\,$ Can take $\varepsilon\,$ larger as we approach the optimizer and H approaches the true Hessian

Results: deterministic evaluation



Figure: Comparison of optimization methods with 'brute-force' deterministic evaluation of H, S, g. (10-site 1D transverse-field Ising model, complex RBM ansatz [Carleo and Troyer 2017].)

Results: stochastic evaluation



Figure: 100-site 1D transverse-field Ising model, complex RBM ansatz.

| | VMC | Deep learning |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Optimal value | Matters exclusively | Overfitting also a concern, SGD = magic |
| Digits of accuracy | Can obtain many digits, indeed often require them | Not a major focus |

- Areas for further exploration:
 - Importance sampling for $ho_{ heta} \propto |\psi_{ heta}|^2$
 - Matrix-free and/or compression approaches for huge parametrizations
 - Beyond ground state: excited states (ongoing work with R. Webber), dynamical properties (ongoing work with H. Zhang and J. Weare), ...