Adaptive compression for Hartree-Fock-like equations

Michael Lindsey, UC Berkeley (Joint work with Lin Lin)

May 5, 2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

- Setup and motivation
- Describe the adaptive compression method
- Numerical results
- Discuss key properties of adaptively compressed operators
- Local convergence
- Global convergence
- See Lin Lin, M.L. Comm. Pure Appl. Math (in press)

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Our setting

We consider the linear eigenvalue problem (lowest n eigenvalues)

$$(A+B)v_i = \lambda_i v_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

- $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ Hermitian, $N \gg n \gg 1$
- $\bullet \ \|A\|_2 \gg \|B\|_2$
- ▶ $B \prec 0$ (note: can be guaranteed by level shifting)
- A-multiplies are 'easy,' B-multiplies are 'hard' (bottleneck)

Hartree-Fock-like equations

By HF-like equations we mean the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$H[P]\psi_i = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V_{\text{ion}} + V_{\text{Hxc}}[P] + V_X[P]\right)\psi_i = \varepsilon_i\psi_i,$$
$$\int \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r})\psi_j(\mathbf{r})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} = \delta_{ij}, \quad P(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e}\psi_i(\mathbf{r})\psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}'),$$

where

- N_e is the number of electrons
- P is the density matrix, an orthogonal projector of rank N_e
- V_{Hxc}[P] depends only on the density ρ(**r**) := P(**r**, **r**) (includes Hartree and exchange-correlation contributions)
- V_X is an integral operator with kernel

$$V_X[P](\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = -P(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')K(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$$

▶ In HF theory, $K(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=1/|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|$

Hartree-Fock-like equations

- Note: −V_X[P] is a Hadamard product of positive definite operators, hence V_X[P] ≺ 0
- But $V_X[P]$ is dense and not low-rank
- ▶ $V_X[P]$ -multiplies often take over 95% of computational time
- Density matrix P needs to be computed self-consistently
- ► Can fix P so that H[P] is fixed and solve linear eigenvalue problem
- Iterate to solve nonlinear fixed-point problem for self-consistent P
- Numerical discretization of linear problem yields matrix of form A + B as above (B ≺ 0)

Reminder: our setting

We consider the linear eigenvalue problem (lowest n eigenvalues)

$$(A+B)v_i = \lambda_i v_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

- $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ Hermitian, $N \gg n \gg 1$
- $||A||_2 \gg ||B||_2$
- $\blacktriangleright B \prec 0$
- A-multiplies are 'easy,' B-multiplies are 'hard'
- Also, define gap $\lambda_g := \lambda_{n+1} \lambda_n$

Adaptively compressing operators

- Let $V = [v_1, \ldots, v_n]$, linearly independent columns
- Define $\underline{B}[V] =:= BV(V^*BV)^{-1}V^*B$ (rank n)
- Observe $\underline{B}[V]V = BV$
- ▶ Therefore, if v_1, \ldots, v_n are the lowest n eigenvectors of $A + B \ldots$

- then they are eigenvectors of $A + \underline{B}[V]$ as well!
- But are they the lowest eigenvectors?
- ▶ **Note:** keep this convention for V

Adaptively compressing operators

- ▶ Let P be orthogonal projector onto span[V]
- P encodes subspace as a matrix, useful for analyzing convergence of subspaces
- Compression depends only on subspace, define $\underline{B}[P] = \underline{B}[V]$

Adaptive compression method

Solve

$$(A + \underline{B}[V^{(k)}])v_i^{(k+1)} = \lambda_i^{(k+1)}v_i^{(k+1)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

 $\begin{aligned} V^{(k)} &= [v_1^{(k)} \cdots v_n^{(k)}] \\ P^{(k)} \text{ orthogonal projector onto } \operatorname{span}[V^{(k)}] \end{aligned}$

- ► In other words, given P^(k), define P^(k+1) to be the orthogonal projector onto the span of the lowest n eigenvectors of A + B[P^(k)]
- Hopefully $P^{(k)} \rightarrow P$
- Solve 'easy' eigenvalue problem at each step, though we have exchanged a linear eigenvalue problem for a nonlinear fixed-point iteration!
- ▶ Need to know that P is a fixed point, equivalently that 'lowest-*n* space' of $A + \underline{B}[P]$ is the same as that of A + B
- ► Would be true if $\underline{B}[P] \succeq B$ (more on this later)

Extensions

- An extension of results allows us to consider 'metallic' case of nearly degenerate eigenvalues
- For linear problems, can accelerate adaptive compression fixed point iteration via DIIS ideas
- For (nonlinear) HF-like equations, can delay update of adaptively compressed operator to outer loop for additional speedup
- Framework can be adapted to other structured eigenvalue problems

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Numerical results (linear problem)

[also joint with Jeffmin Lin at UC Berkeley]

$$A = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V, \quad B(x,y) = 1/\sqrt{1 + |x - y|^2}$$



ヨー かくぐ

Numerical results (linear problem)

[also joint with Jeffmin Lin at UC Berkeley]

$$A = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V, \quad B(x,y) = 1/\sqrt{1 + |x - y|^2}$$



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - の久(で)

Numerical results (nonlinear: DFT with hybrid functional)

- inner iteration to converge density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$
- outer iteration to convergence density matrix $P(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$
- only update compressed exchange operator once per outer loop



Figure 3. Geometric structures of bulk silicon systems used for the ACE formulation in PWDFT. (a) Sig in the unitcell, (b) Si₆₄ in the 2 × 2 supercell, (c) Si₁₀₀₀ in the 5 × 5 × 5 supercell, and (d) Si₄₀₉₆ in the 8 × 8 × 8 supercell.

methods	ACE HSE06 (LOBPCG)		conventional HSE06 (LOBPCG)	
no. outer SCF	no. inner SCF	time (s)	no. inner SCF	time (s)
1	6	356	6	2518
2	5	320	5	2044
3	5	308	4	1665

Hu, Lin, Banerjee, Vechrarynski, & Yang. JCTC (2017)

Optimality of adaptive compression

Theorem (Optimality)

For $B \prec 0$ and any $N \times n$ matrix U with linearly independent columns, the adaptive compression $\underline{B}[U]$ is the unique rank-n Hermitian matrix that agrees with B on $\operatorname{span}[U]$. Furthermore, $B \preceq \underline{B}[U] \preceq 0$.

Helpful observation: matrix of $\underline{B}[U]$ (with respect to ${\rm span}[U]\times {\rm span}[U]^{\perp}$ block structure) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{12}^* & B_{12}^* B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} \end{array}\right)$$

Local convergence

Theorem (Local convergence)

The fixed point iteration $P^{(k)} \mapsto P^{(k+1)}$ converges locally to $P = VV^*$. The number of matrix-vector multiplications Bv needed for k steps of fixed point iteration is nk. Starting from $P^{(0)}$, the asymptotic convergence rate is

$$\|P - P^{(k)}\|_2 \lesssim \gamma^k \|P - P^{(0)}\|_2$$
, where $\gamma \le \frac{\|B\|_2}{\|B\|_2 + \lambda_g}$.

Note: rate of convergence does not depend on $||A||_2$ (stable under refinement of discretization if A is a differential operator). Can also obtain sharp expression.

Idea of proof

- Let \mathfrak{F} be iteration map of adaptive compression method, so $\mathfrak{F}:P^{(k)}\to P^{(k+1)}$
- ▶ Repeated application of 𝔅 induces discrete-time dynamical system on the set of rank-n orthogonal projectors, equivalently the set of n-dimensional subspaces or the Grassmann manifold Gr(n, ℂ^N)
- ► Linearize of dynamical system at fixed point P by computing Jacobian of 𝔅 in appropriate coordinates
- Bound eigenvalues of Jacobian to get asymptotic convergence rate

Theorem (Global convergence)

For almost every pair of Hermitian matrices A, B with $B \prec 0$, the fixed point iteration $\mathfrak{F}: P^{(k)} \mapsto P^{(k+1)}$ converges globally to $P = VV^*$ for almost every initial guess $P^{(0)}$.

Simple counterexamples show that there can exist 'bad' fixed points, so one cannot hope for convergence from arbitrary initial guess.

Idea of proof

- Eigenvalue monotonicity: each of the bottom n eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \lambda_n^{(k)}$ of $A + \underline{B}[P^{(k-1)}]$ is monotonically non-increasing in k (hence convergent)
- \blacktriangleright Change of $P^{(k)}$ across one iteration can be controlled by the change of $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{(k)}$
- ▶ So when k is large, $\mathfrak{F}(P^{(k)}) \approx P^{(k)}$, i.e., the point $P^{(k)}$ is almost fixed by the mapping \mathfrak{F}
- Not yet enough to directly imply by general considerations that the sequence P^(k) is convergent, but one might hope that a point that is close to being fixed is close to some fixed point!

This is true

Idea of proof

- ► Fixed points P_f are in particular orthogonal projectors onto invariant subspaces of A + B
- ► For generic A, B, there are finitely many such P_f and A + B[P_f] has a spectral gap
- ► Already know that P^(k) is close to some fixed point for k large. Fixed points are finite in number (hence isolated), so this implies that P^(k) converges to some fixed point
- Use spectral gap to perform linearization about all fixed points
- Only the desired fixed point is stable; stable manifold of all others has positive codimension (hence measure zero)
- Convergence to bad fixed point would mean that dynamics live on such a stable manifold for k large enough
- ► Thus want to show that the preimage under S of a measure-zero set has measure zero
- This is the egg on barn lemma (technical; 3 not a diffeomorphism, nor even continuous)

Conclusion

- Introduced adaptive compression framework
- Applications to linear and nonlinear eigenvalue problems
- Compression operation has nice linear-algebraic properties

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Convergence theory
- Acknowledgement: NSF GRFP (DGE-1106400)
- Thank you!