Optimal transport via a Monge-Ampére optimization problem

Michael Lindsey

(joint work with Yanir Rubinstein)

May 29, 2020

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

Review of optimal transport and numerical methods

Recasting the Monge-Ampére equation for OT as a (convex) optimization problem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Discretization

Convergence result

Experiments

Modified discretization

Removing target condition

Review of optimal transport and numerical methods

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Monge problem

Monge optimal transport problem:

minimize
$$\int_X c(x, T(x)) d\mu(x)$$

subject to T measurable, $T_{\#}\mu = \nu$.

- Kantorovich is a relaxation (always feasible)
- Exist unique coinciding solutions to each problem when $c(x,y) = |x-y|^2$, $\mu = f \, dx$, $\nu = g \, dy$ on $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^n$

Brenier's theorem

- X = Y = ℝⁿ. Roughly speaking, under mild technical conditions on μ, ν, Monge-Kantorovich problem is uniquely solved by transport map T = ∇φ, where φ is convex
- \blacktriangleright Conversely, gradients of convex functions are optimal maps from μ to $T_{\#}\mu$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Review of numerical methods

- LP, assignment problems
- semi-discrete (Lévy 2015)
- entropic regularization (Cuturi 2013)
- sparse LP (Oberman-Ruan 2015, Schmitzer 2016)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 PDE methods (Benamou-Brenier 2000, Benamou-Froese-Oberman 2014)

Recasting the Monge-Ampére equation for OT as a (convex) optimization problem

The Monge-Ampère equation

- Ω, Λ open and bounded in Rⁿ, consider optimal transport problem from source measure µ on Ω with density f to target measure ν on Λ with density g
- Caffarelli's regularity theory for Λ convex
- Equivalently, find convex ψ solving second boundary value problem for the Monge–Ampère equation

$$\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x)\right) = \frac{f(x)}{g\left(\nabla \psi(x)\right)}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\nabla \psi(\Omega) = \Lambda.$$
 (1)

A cute observation

 \blacktriangleright Suppose ψ convex is a 'subsolution' of the MAE, i.e.,

$$\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x)\right) \ge \frac{f(x)}{g\left(\nabla \psi(x)\right)}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\nabla \psi(\Omega) \subset \Lambda.$$
 (2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Then \u03c6 is a solution!

A cute transformation

• Equivalently, find convex ψ such that

$$-\det^{1/n} \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x)\right) + f^{1/n}(x)g^{-1/n} \left(\nabla \psi(x)\right) \le 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$
$$\nabla \psi(\Omega) \subset \Lambda.$$
(3)

Notice that LHS of inequality is 'convex' in ψ if g^{-1/n} is convex. This holds in particular if g is log-concave (which holds in particular if g is uniform on convex Λ) A Monge-Ampére optimization problem

So the convex potential is the solution of:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\psi}{\text{minimize}} & \int_{\Omega} \max\left\{0, -\det^{1/n}\left(\nabla^{2}\psi(x)\right) + f^{1/n}(x)g^{-1/n}\left(\nabla\psi(x)\right)\right\} \\ \text{subject to} & \psi \text{ convex} \\ & \nabla\psi(\Omega) \subset \Lambda \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

► If g^{-1/n} is convex, then this can be thought of as a convex optimization problem

Discretization

Discretization

- Many ways to discretize
- ► First we triangulate the domain into simplices {S_i}^M_{i=1} with vertex set {x_j}^N_{i=1}, where S_i = Conv{x_{i0},...,x_{in}}
- Example:



A discrete Monge-Ampére optimization problem

The discrete Monge–Ampère optimization problem (DMAOP) associated to the data $(\Omega, \Lambda, f, g, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^N, \{S_i\}_{i=1}^M)$ is a natural discretization of the continuous MAOP. Optimization variables are:

- ψ_j variable for Brenier potential value at point x_j
- η_j variable for subdifferential of Brenier potential at x_j
- convexity enforced via $\psi_j \ge \psi_i + \langle \eta_i, x_j x_i \rangle$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$
- convexity constraint inefficient, can be made more efficient in practice later

Convergence result

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What do we want to converge?

We need to extract something to converge

Define

$$a_j(x) := \psi_j + \langle \eta_j, x - x_j \rangle, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N, \qquad (4)$$

so a_j is the (unique) affine function with $a_j(x_j) = \psi_j$ and $\nabla a_j(x_j) = \eta_j$.

Define the optimization potential by

$$\phi(x) := b + \max_{j=1,...N} a_j(x),$$
(5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that $\phi(0) = 0$.

Convergence result

Theorem (L.-Rubinstein, arXiv:1603.07435)

Let $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Lambda})$ and suppose Λ is convex. Let $\{\{S_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{M(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a 'nice' sequence of triangulations. Let φ be the the unique Brenier solution of our Monge–Ampère equation with $\varphi(0) = 0$, and let $\phi^{(k)}$ be the optimization potentials obtained from the DMAOP. Then

$$\phi^{(k)} o \varphi$$
 uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$,

and $\partial \phi^{(k)} \to \nabla \varphi$ pointwise on $\overline{\Omega}$. In particular, $\nabla \phi^{(k)}$ converges pointwise almost everywhere to the optimal transport map pushing forward $\mu = f \, dx$ to $\nu = g \, dx$.

Main ideas of proof

- Show that optimal costs $c_k \to 0$.
- Assume $\phi^{(k)} \to \phi$ uniformly for some ϕ . WTS $\phi = \varphi$.
- Mollify the sequence to get $\phi_{\varepsilon}^{(k)} \to \phi_{\varepsilon}$ (*).
- Use $c_k \to 0$ together with the convergence (\star) to establish that ϕ_{ε} does not 'excessively contract' mass, up to some error that is o(1) in ε .
- Roughly speaking, define ν_ε := (∇φ_ε)#μ, use previous point to show that ν ≥ ν_ε + o(1) as ε → 0.

- But $\nu(\Lambda) = \nu_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$, so $\nu_{\varepsilon} \to \nu$.
- Conclude by stability of optimal transport.

Experiments

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @









・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

ъ



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Modified discretization

Recall we want to solve

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\psi}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \int_{\Omega} \max \left\{ 0, -\det \, {}^{1/n} \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) + f^{1/n}(x) g^{-1/n} \left(\nabla \psi(x) \right) \right\} \\ \text{subject to} & \psi \text{ convex} \\ & \nabla \psi(\Omega) \subset \Lambda \end{array}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ・ ・

h	L^{∞} error	$L^2 {\rm error}$	Time (s)
2^{-3}	0.0648	0.0249	1.53
2^{-4}	0.0045	0.0020	1.38
2^{-5}	0.0021	0.0010	2.15
2^{-6}	0.0007	0.0003	8.20
2^{-7}	0.0005	0.0002	38.58

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●





▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 - のへで

h	L^∞ error	$L^2 {\rm error}$	Time (s)
2^{-3}	0.0375	0.0179	1.27
2^{-4}	0.0119	0.0049	1.51
2^{-5}	0.0034	0.0012	1.90
2^{-6}	0.0013	0.0007	9.51
2^{-7}	-	—	42.31

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Removing target condition

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Recall that we want to solve

$$\det \left(\nabla^2 \varphi(x)\right) = \frac{f(x)}{g\left(\nabla \varphi(x)\right)}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\nabla \varphi(\Omega) = \Lambda.$$
 (6)

• Let $V = vol(\Lambda)$, $f^{(0)} = f$, and let $\varphi^{(0)}$ be the solution of

$$\det \left(\nabla^2 \varphi(x)\right) = V f^{(0)}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$
$$\nabla \varphi(\Omega) = \Lambda.$$

• Define $\varphi^{(i+1)}$ to be the solution of

$$\det \left(\nabla^2 \varphi(x)\right) = V f^{(i+1)}(x) \quad x \in \Omega,$$
$$\nabla \varphi(\Omega) = \Lambda,$$

where $f^{(i+1)} := \left. \tilde{f}^{(i+1)} \middle/ \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}^{(i+1)} \, dx \right) \right|$ for $\tilde{f}^{(i+1)} := f/(g \circ \nabla \varphi^{(i)})$.





イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

.

h	L^∞ error	$L^2 {\rm error}$	Iterations	Time (s)
2^{-3}	0.0814	0.0317	3	3.36
2^{-4}	0.0175	0.0095	4	5.59
2^{-5}	0.0056	0.0031	4	8.97
2^{-6}	0.0017	0.0008	5	45.16
2^{-7}	-	-	5	207.02

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Source density: $f = 1.5 - \mathbf{1}_{B(0,0.5)}$.



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

h	L^∞ error	$L^2 {\rm error}$	Iterations	Time (s)
2^{-3}	0.0312	0.0192	6	7.42
2^{-4}	0.0156	0.0069	7	10.53
2^{-5}	0.0091	0.0041	7	14.11
2^{-6}	0.0045	0.0025	9	78.90
2^{-7}	-	-	10	381.73

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●